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Notary Public
I told them as much in a pretty scorching phone call. I think they had some inkling that they might be about to take our money and make themselves look good to the enviros too, but I can't prove that.

Scientists are like that; they'll review anything they're asked to review and give their honest, sometimes harsh critique, without knowing any of the politics or circumstances. It's the way you do things in peer review of a colleague's paper, and they just apply the process to everything they read.

-----Original Message-----
From: [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 16:13
To: [mailto:]
Subject: RE: Dr. [mailto:]'s Comment to [mailto:]

...their comments were in the attachment. Yes, they were negative and in my opinion, out of the box. If they are funded by the Navy the proper way to bitch is via the sponsor (you), and not a letter to [mailto:].

A letter from [mailto:] and [mailto:] is nothing more than an attempt to discredit the Navy and stop the [mailto:]. Maybe I'm missing the big picture, what say you?

-----Original Message-----
From: [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 2:04 PM
To: [mailto:]
Subject: RE: Dr. [mailto:]'s Comment to [mailto:]

Yes, I fund their research. They did mention that they would be sending in comments on [mailto:], but I did not get a copy of what they sent. I gather the input was not entirely positive.
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 13:22
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Dr. [redacted]'s Comment to [redacted]

[redacted] is the Navy funding any [redacted] and [redacted] research? Did they say anything to you on this issue?

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 9:42 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE#: Dr. [redacted]'s Comment to [redacted]

[redacted]

Attached are the comments received from [redacted].

V/R