DECLARATION OF JOEL R. REYNOLDS

I, JOEL R. REYNOLDS, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Senior Attorney for the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and Director of its Marine Mammal Protection Project. I am duly licensed to practice law in the State of California, and before the U.S. District Court for the Central and Northern Districts of California, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and the U.S. Supreme Court. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and if called to testify I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. From 2002 until the present, I have represented NRDC in the case of NRDC v. Evans, which concerns the Navy's deployment of a low-frequency active sonar system known as SURTASS LFA.
- 3. I am familiar with the series of emails dated 6-9 August, 2001 that are attached as Exhibit A to this Declaration.
- 4. In 2003, NRDC received copies of these emails from the U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, which represented and continues to represent the Navy and National Marine Fisheries Service ("NMFS") in *NRDC v. Evans*. The documents were provided and received in the ordinary course of business, being considered part of the "administrative record," or basis of agency decision, in the administrative proceeding out of which this litigation arose. Their production took place under standards prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and other rules of federal court.

5. I certify that the emails attached as Exhibit A are true and correct copies of those furnished to NRDC by the U.S. Department of Justice, on behalf of the Department of the Navy and NMFS as described above.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 27th day of January, 2005.

Joel R. Reynolds

State of California

County of Los Angeles)

Subscribed to and sworn to before me this 27th day of January, 2005

Notary Public

GAYLE COLLIER PETERSEN
Commission # 1512452
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Comm. Expires Sep 11, 2008

From: Sent: To:	Thursday, August 09, 2001 11:55
Subject:	RE: Dr. s Comment to
I told them as much they might be about but I can't prove to	n in a pretty scorching phone call. I think they had some inkling that to take our money and make themselves look good to the enviros too.
without knowing any	Scientists are like that; they'l ey're asked to review and give their honest, sometimes harsh critique, of the politics or circumstances. Its the way you do things in peer que's paper, and they just apply the process to everything they read.
Original Messa From: Sent: Monday, Augus To: Subject: RE: Dr.	{mailto:
my opinion, out of bitch is via the sp	were in the attachment. Yes, they were negative and in the box. If they are funded by the Navy the proper way to onsor (you), and not a letter to A letter from and nothing more than an attempt to discredit the Navy and Maybe I'm missing the big picture, what say you?
Original Messac From: Sent: Monday, August To: Subject: RE: Dr.	[mailto:
-	
Yes, I fund their recomments on , but input was not entire	esearch. They did mention that they would be sending in I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Original Messag	mailto:

Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 13:22
To:
Subject: FW: Dr. 's Comment to

is the Navy funding any and and research? Did they say anything to you on this issue?

----Original Message---From: [mailto: Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 9:42 AM
To: Cc:
Subject: RE#: Dr. 's Comment to

Attached are the comments received from

V/R